

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/483,117	01/14/2000		Robert J. Mileti	D-20063-01	7953
28236	7590	05/21/2003			
CRYOVA	C, INC.		EXAMINER		
SEALED AIR CORP P.O. BOX 464				HAWKINS, CHERYL N	
DUNCAN, SC 29334				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1734	16
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>.</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		AS-6
	<u> </u>	Application N	o. Applicant(s)
		09/483,117	GEORGE ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Cheryl N Hawk	rins 1734 ·
Period fo	r Reply		ver she t with the correspondence address
THE N - Exten after 5 - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, he nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory atutory period will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be timely filed  minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>04 March 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is nor	
3)□		n for allowance except for tice under <i>Ex part</i> e Quay	r formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is tele, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
•	Claim(s) <u>1-33 and 35-48</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		deration.
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,12-14,27-29 and 35-37</u>		
, —	Claim(s) <u>9-11,15-20,22-26,30-34 and</u>		
	Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requ	irement.
	ion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2	<u>2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted	or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)			oved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are re		: action.
	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		. 05.11.0.0. \$ 440(a) (d) a= (6)
l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § T19(a)-(u) or (i).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority		
	2. Certified copies of the priority		
*	application from the Inter See the attached detailed Office acti	national Bureau (PCT Ru on for a list of the certifie	d copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
]	a)  The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim	anguage provisional appli	cation has been received.
Attachme			
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5 <sup>°</sup>	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 9, 15, 16, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Karabut et al. (SU 1118535). The admitted prior art discloses a device for heat sealing at least two thermoplastic films together which includes front and rear opposing jaws (Figures 1 and 2, front jaw 10, rear jaw 12) moveable between an open position defining a zone for inserting two films (Figures 1 and 2, films 30 and 32) between the front and rear jaws and a closed position in which the front and rear jaws are proximate each other to compress the two thermoplastic films together, the rear jaw including a resilient portion (Figures 1 and 2, pad 14) facing the front jaw; a front jaw release sheet (Figures 1 and 2, release sheet 22) positioned between the insertion zone and the front jaw when the front and rear jaws are in the open position; and a heating element (Figures 1 and 2, heating element 20) positioned between the front jaw release sheet and the front jaw, wherein the resilient portion of the rear jaw faces the heating element so that the resilient portion conforms to the shape of the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the closed position. The admitted prior art does not disclose a heat sealing device in which the front jaw release sheet engages the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the closed position and disengages from the heating element when the

Art Unit: 1734

front and rear jaws are in the open position. Karabut et al. discloses a heat sealing apparatus (Figure 1) in which the front jaw release sheet (Figure 1, anti-adhesion liner 4) engages the heating element (Figure 1, band heater 3) when the front and rear jaws are in the closed position and disengages from the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the open position (Figure 1, buffer container 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the front jaw release sheet of the admitted prior art to engage the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the closed position and disengage from the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the open position as suggested by Karabut et al. to prevent continued contact between the front jaw release sheet and the heating element thereby reducing damage to the front jaw release sheet.

As to Claim 15, the admitted prior art discloses an apparatus in which the surface of the resilient portion of the rear jaw facing the front jaw includes a release characteristic (Figures 1 and 2, release sheet 28).

As to Claim 16, the admitted prior art discloses an apparatus which includes a rear jaw release sheet adjacent to the resilient portion of the rear jaw (Figures 1 and 2, release sheet 28).

As to Claim 48, the admitted prior art discloses a heat sealing apparatus in which the front jaw release sheet conforms to greater than 20% of the surface area of the heating element that is within the traverse width of the two thermoplastic films (see Figure 2).

3. Claims 10, 11, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art and Karabut et al. (SU 1118535) as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology". As to Claims 10 and 11, the

Art Unit: 1734

admitted prior art is silent as to a device in which the front jaw release sheet includes an unreinforced release material. It is well known and conventional in the heat sealing apparatus art, as disclosed in "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology", for impulse sealers to contain release coverings that can include reinforced materials, such as silicone-rubber-coated fiberglass, or release coverings, such as Teflon-coated polyimide film, that include unreinforced release material consisting of fluoroplastic material, i.e. Teflon or polytetrafluoroethylene (page 575, column 2, lines 5-9; Figure 4) to prevent plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the heat sealing apparatus of the admitted prior art to include a unreinforced release covering on the heating element to prevent the plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus and yet retain its capability of effectively sealing thermoplastic films.

As to Claim 17, the admitted prior art is silent as to a device in which the rear jaw release sheet includes an unreinforced fluoroplastic release material. It is well known and conventional in the heat sealing apparatus art, as disclosed in "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology", for impulse sealers to contain release coverings that can include reinforced materials, such as silicone-rubber-coated fiberglass, or release coverings, such as Teflon-coated polyimide film, that include unreinforced release material consisting of fluoroplastic material, i.e. Teflon or polytetrafluoroethylene (page 575, column 2, lines 5-9; Figure 4) to prevent plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the heat sealing apparatus of the admitted prior art to include a unreinforced release covering on the rear jaw to

Art Unit: 1734

prevent the plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus and yet retain its capability of effectively sealing and severing thermoplastic films.

- Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the 4. admitted prior art and Karabut et al. (SU 1118535) as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Kochmer et al. (US 3,235,122). The admitted prior art discloses an apparatus in which the entire heating element is unembedded from the front jaw. As to Claims 18-20, the admitted prior art does not disclose a device in which the cross-sectional thickness of the heating element portion that is unembedded in the front jaw is no less than about twice the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion. Kochmer et al. discloses a heat sealing and severing device in which the cross-sectional thickness of the heating element is no less than about twice the crosssectional thickness of the resilient portion (Figure 3). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that the thickness of the resilient portion of the front jaw illustrated in the apparatus of the admitted prior art is exemplary and that the apparatus of the admitted prior art could function effectively with a thinner resilient pad such as that disclosed by Kochmer et al. When modifying the apparatus of the admitted prior art to include a thinner resilient pad, it is noted that that would result in an apparatus in which cross-sectional thickness of the heating element portion that is entirely unembedded in the front jaw is no less than about twice the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion.
- 5. Claims 22-25 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamm et al. (US 3,982,991) in view of Kochmer et al. (US 3,235,122). Hamm et al. discloses a

Art Unit: 1734

device for simultaneously heat sealing and severing at least two thermoplastic films (abstract), the device includes front and rear opposing jaws (Figure 1) moveable between an open position defining a zone for inserting at least two films between the front and rear jaws and a closed position in which the front and rear jaws are proximate each other, the rear jaw including a resilient portion (Figure 1, pressure pad 10) facing the front jaw, the resilient portion having a given cross-sectional thickness; a front jaw release sheet (Figure 1, layer 6) positioned between the insertion zone and the front jaw when the front and rear jaw are in the open position; and a heating element (Figure 1, wire 4) positioned between the front jaw release sheet and the front jaw.

As to Claims 22-25, Hamm et al. does not disclose a device in which the cross-sectional portion of the heating element that is unembedded in the front jaw is no less than about twice the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion. Kochmer et al. discloses a heat sealing and severing device in which the cross-sectional thickness of the heating element is no less than about twice the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion (Figure 3). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that the thickness of the resilient portion of the front jaw illustrated in the apparatus of Hamm et al. is exemplary and that the apparatus of Hamm et al. could function effectively with a thinner resilient pad such as that disclosed by Kochmer et al.

As to Claim 30, Hamm et al. discloses a device in which the surface of the resilient portion (Figure 1, pressure pad 10) of the rear jaw facing the front jaw includes a release characteristic (Figure 1, layer 12).

Art Unit: 1734

As to Claim 31, Hamm et al. discloses a device in which a rear jaw release sheet (Figure 1, layer 12) is positioned adjacent to the resilient portion (Figure 1, pressure pad 10) of the rear jaw.

- Claims 26 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamm 6. et al. (US 3,982,991) and Kochmer et al. (US 3,235,122) as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology". As to Claims 26 and 32, Hamm et al. does not disclose a device in which the front jaw or rear jaw release sheet includes an unreinforced release material. It is well known and conventional in the heat sealing apparatus art, as disclosed in "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology", for impulse sealers to contain release coverings that can include reinforced materials, such as siliconerubber-coated fiberglass, or release coverings, such as Teflon-coated polyimide film, that include unreinforced release material consisting of fluoroplastic material, i.e. Teflon or polytetrafluoroethylene (page 575, column 2, lines 5-9; Figure 4) to prevent plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the heat sealing and severing apparatus of Hamm et al. to include a unreinforced release covering on both the front jaw and the rear jaw to prevent the plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus and yet retain its capability of effectively sealing and severing thermoplastic films.
- 7. Claims 33 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergevin (US 4,981,546) in view of "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology". As to

Art Unit: 1734

Claims 33 and 39, Bergevin discloses a device for heat sealing thermoplastic films together, the device comprising: front and rear opposing jaws moveable between an open position defining a zone for inserting two films between the front and rear jaws and a closed position in which the front and rear jaws are proximate to each other to compress the thermoplastic films together, the rear jaw including a resilient portion facing the front jaw; a front jaw release sheet positioned between the insertion zone and the front jaw when the front and rear jaws are in the open position, the front jaw release sheet including a release material; and a heating element positioned between the front jaw release sheet and the front jaw. Bergevin also discloses the heat sealing apparatus as having a rear jaw release sheet adjacent to the resilient portion of the rear jaw and wherein the heating element is partially embedded in the resilient portion of the rear jaw during operation of the heat sealer (Figure 1; column 2, lines 53-68; column 3, lines 1-28).

As to Claims 33, 38, and 40, Bergevin is silent as to the front jaw or rear jaw release sheet including an unreinforced release material. It is well known and conventional in the heat sealing apparatus art, as disclosed in "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology", for impulse sealers to contain release coverings that can include reinforced materials, such as silicone-rubber-coated fiberglass, or release coverings, such as Teflon-coated polyimide film, that include unreinforced release material consisting of fluoroplastic material, i.e. Teflon or polytetrafluoroethylene (page 575, column 2, lines 5-9; Figure 4) to prevent plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an unreinforced material as the release sheet in the heat sealing device of Bergevin; the use of unreinforced materials such as polyimide films being well established in the heat sealing apparatus art.

Art Unit: 1734

8. Claims 33 and 41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kochmer et al. (US 3,253,122) in view of "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology". Kochmer et al. discloses a device for simultaneously heat sealing and severing at least two thermoplastic films (column 1, lines 9-12), the device includes front and rear opposing jaws (Figure 3) moveable between an open position defining a zone for inserting at least two films between the front and rear jaws and a closed position in which the front and rear jaws are proximate each other (column 1, lines 17-19), the rear jaw including a resilient portion (silicone rubber pad 30) facing the front jaw and a heating element (heating element 16) positioned in the top portion of the front jaw.

As to Claim 33, Kochmer et al. is silent as to a front jaw release sheet, which includes an unreinforced release material. It is well known and conventional in the heat sealing apparatus art, as disclosed in "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology", for impulse sealers to contain release coverings that can include reinforced materials, such as silicone-rubber-coated fiberglass, or release coverings, such as Teflon-coated polyimide film, that include unreinforced release material consisting of fluoroplastic material, i.e. Teflon or polytetrafluoroethylene (page 575, column 2, lines 5-9; Figure 4) to prevent plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the heat sealing and severing apparatus of Kochmer et al. to include a unreinforced release covering on the heating element to prevent the plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus.

Art Unit: 1734

As to Claim 41, Kochmer et al. discloses a heating element (Figure 3, heating element 16), which is at least partially embedded in the front jaw when the front and rear jaws are in the open position.

QUS 3,253,122) in view of Kochmer et al. (US 3,235,122). Hamm et al. discloses a device for simultaneously heat sealing and severing at least two thermoplastic films (abstract), the device includes front and rear opposing jaws (Figure 1, tool 1 and opposing tool 2) moveable between an open position defining a zone for inserting at least two films between the front and rear jaws and a closed position in which the front and rear jaws are proximate each other, the rear jaw including a resilient portion (Figure 1, pressure pad 10) facing the front jaw, the resilient portion having a given cross-sectional thickness; a front jaw release sheet (Figure 1, layer 6) positioned between the insertion zone and the front jaw when the front and rear jaws are in the open position; and a heating element (Figure 1, wire 4) positioned between the front jaw release sheet and the front jaw.

As to Claims 42-45, Hamm et al. is silent as to a device in which the cross-sectional portion of the heating element that is unembedded in the front jaw is no less than about twice the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion. Kochmer et al. discloses a heat sealing and severing device in which the cross-sectional thickness of the heating element is no less than about twice the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion (Figure 3). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that the thickness of the resilient portion of the front jaw illustrated in the apparatus of Hamm et al. is exemplary and that the apparatus of

Art Unit: 1734

Hamm et al. could function effectively with a thinner resilient pad such as that disclosed by Kochmer et al. When modifying the apparatus of Hamm et al. to include a thinner resilient pad, it is noted that that would result in an apparatus in which cross-sectional thickness of the heating element portion that is entirely unembedded in the front jaw is no less than about twice the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion.

As to Claim 46, Hamm et al. discloses a device in which the surface of the resilient portion (Figure 1, pressure pad 10) of the rear jaw facing the front jaw includes a release characteristic (Figure 1, layer 12).

As to Claim 47, Hamm et al. discloses a device in which a rear jaw release sheet (Figure 1, layer 12) is positioned adjacent to the resilient portion (Figure 1, pressure pad 10) of the rear jaw.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 1-6 are allowed.
- 11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for indicating allowable subject matter: As to Claim 1, the prior art of record to Bergevin (US 4,981,546) discloses a device for heating sealing at least two thermoplastic films together, the device comprising front and rear opposing jaws movable between an open position defining a zone for inserting the two films between the front and rear jaws and a closed position in which the front and rear jaws are proximate each other to compress the two thermoplastic films together, the rear jaw including a resilient portion facing the front jaw, the resilient portion having a given cross-sectional thickness; a rear jaw release sheet adjacent to the resilient portion of the rear jaw, the rear jaw release sheet including a reinforced release material; a front jaw release sheet positioned between

Art Unit: 1734 -

the insertion zone and the front jaw when the front and rear jaws are in the open position, the front jaw release sheet including a reinforced material; a heating element positioned between the front jaw release sheet and the front jaw, the heating element having a cross-sectional thickness less than 0.55 times the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion (Figure 1; column 2, lines 53-68; column 3, lines 1-28).

The prior art of record to Bergevin does not teach including an unreinforced release material as the release material for the front and rear jaws. The conventional prior art disclosed in "The Wiley Encyclopedia of Packaging Technology" teaches that impulse sealers, such as the apparatus of Bergevin, contain release coverings that can be composed of reinforced material i.e. silicone-rubber-coated fiberglass or unreinforced material such as polyimide film (page 575, column 2, lines 5-9; Figure 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an unreinforced material as the release sheet in the heat sealing device of Bergevin; the use of unreinforced materials such as polyimide films being well known and conventional in the heat sealing apparatus art.

The prior art of record to Bergevin does not teach a heating element having a cross-sectional thickness no less than about 0.55 times the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion. The prior art of record to Kochmer et al. discloses a heat sealing and severing device in which the cross-sectional thickness of the heating element (Figure 3, heating element 16) is greater than 0.55 times the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion (silicone rubber pad 30). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would readily recognize that a heat sealing device having a heating element which is less than or greater than 0.55 times the

Art Unit: 1734

cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion would produce effective heat seals as suggested by Bergevin and Kochmer et al.

The prior art of record to Karabut (SU 1118535) discloses a heat sealing apparatus in which the both ends of the front jaw release sheet are attached to the front jaw to disengages the front jaw release sheet from the heating element when the front and rear jaw are in the open position (Figure 1).

The prior art of record does not teach or provide any motivation for the heat sealing device having at least one recoiler having a first end attached to the front jaw release sheet and a second end attached to the front jaw, wherein the recoiler disengages the front jaw release sheet from the heating element when the front and rear jaw are in the open position.

- 12. Claims 7 and 8 are allowed.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to Claim 7, the prior art of record to Bergevin (US 4,981,546) teaches a heat sealing device which is capable of performing a method of simultaneously sealing and severing two thermoplastic films, the method comprising: inserting the two thermoplastic films in the insertion zone of the device; moving the front and rear jaws to the closed position whereby the two thermoplastic films are pressed together between the front and rear jaws; applying an electrical impulse to the heating element to increase the temperature of the heating element to a point sufficient to simultaneously sever and heat seal the two thermoplastic films; and discontinuing the electrical impulse to the heating element while the front and rear jaws are in the closed position to set the heat seal (Figure 1; column 2, lines 53-68; column 3, lines 1-28).

Art Unit: 1734

However, the prior art of record does not teach performing this heat sealing method with the heat sealing device as described in claim 1.

- 14. Claims 12-14 are allowed.
- 15. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to Claim 12, the prior art of record to Hamm et al. (US 3,982,991) does not teach or suggest any motivation for a heat sealer to have at least one spacer attached to the front jaw release sheet, wherein the front jaw release sheet is disengaged from the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the open position.
- 16. Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 17. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to Claim 21, the prior art of record to Kochmer et al. (US 3,253,122) discloses an apparatus in which the heating element is embedded in the front jaw when the front and rear jaws are in the open position. However, the prior art of record does not disclose or provide any motivation for a heat sealing apparatus in which the resilient portion of the rear jaw faces the heating element so that the resilient portion conforms to the shape of the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the closed position and the heating element is embedded in the front jaws when the front and rear jaws are in the open position.

Art Unit: 1734

18. Claims 27-29 are allowed.

19. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to Claims 27, the prior art of record to Kochmer et al. discloses a device for simultaneously heat sealing and severing at least two thermoplastic films (column 1, lines 9-12), the device includes front and rear opposing jaws (Figure 3) moveable between an open position defining a zone for inserting at least two films between the front and rear jaws and a closed position in which the front and rear jaws are proximate each other (column 1, lines 17-19), the rear jaw including a resilient portion (silicone rubber pad 30) facing the front jaw, the resilient portion having a given cross-sectional thickness and a heating element (heating element 16) positioned between the front jaw release sheet and the front jaw, the heating element having a cross-sectional thickness no less than about 0.55 times the cross-sectional thickness of the resilient portion (Figure 3). Kochmer et al. is silent as to a front jaw release sheet, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the heat sealing and severing apparatus of Kochmer et al. to include a release covering on the heating element to prevent the plastic films being sealed and/or severed from sticking to elements of the apparatus.

The prior art of record to Kochmer et al. does not teach or suggest any motivation for a heat sealer to have at least one spacer attached to the front jaw release sheet, wherein the front jaw release sheet is disengaged from the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the open position.

20. Claims 35-37 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1734

21. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to Claim 35, the prior art of record to Bergevin discloses a device for heat sealing thermoplastic films together, the device comprising: front and rear opposing jaws moveable between an open position defining a zone for inserting two films between the front and rear jaws and a closed position in which the front and rear jaws are proximate to each other to compress the thermoplastic films together, the rear jaw including a resilient portion facing the front jaw; a front jaw release sheet positioned between the insertion zone and the front jaw when the front and rear jaws are in the open position, the front jaw release sheet including a release material; and a heating element positioned between the front jaw release sheet and the front jaw. Bergevin does not disclose the front jaw or rear jaw release sheet including an unreinforced release material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an unreinforced material as the release sheet in the heat sealing device of Bergevin; the use of unreinforced materials such as polyimide films being well established in the heat sealing apparatus art.

The prior art of record to Bergevin does not teach or suggest any motivation for a heat sealer to have at least one spacer attached to the front jaw release sheet, wherein the front jaw release sheet is disengaged from the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the open position.

Art Unit: 1734

### Response to Arguments

22. In response to the applicant's amendment to Claim 12, Claims 12-14 are allowed.

In response to the applicant's amendment to Claim 45, the rejection of Claim 45 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn.

In response to the applicant's arguments concerning the rejection of Claim 9 under 35 USC § 103(a) over Hamm et al. in view of Karabut, the Examiner has presented a new rejection for Claims 9-11 and 15-20 utilizing the admitted prior art which discloses a heat sealing apparatus wherein the resilient portion of the rear jaw faces the heating element so that the resilient portion conforms to the shape of the heating element when the front and rear jaws are in the closed position.

In response to the applicant's request that the Examiner provide evidence that the antiadhesion liner disclosed by Karabut functions to reduce damage to the front jaw release sheet,
the Examiner has provided an English abstract of the Karabut reference which discloses that the
anti-adhesion liner is held clear of the heater to prevent scorching or adhesion when the front and
rear jaws are in their open position.

In response to the applicant's arguments that Wiley fails to teach an unreinforced release material containing essentially a fluoroplastic material, the Examiner disagrees and emphasizes the distinction between the <u>release sheet</u> and the <u>release material</u>. Wiley discloses an unreinforced <u>release sheet</u>, such as Teflon-coated polyimide film, which includes an unreinforced <u>release material</u> consisting essentially of fluoroplastic material, i.e. Teflon or polytetrafluoroethylene (page 575, column 2, lines 5-9; Figure 4). The claims recite a limitation for an unreinforced release sheet; the Examiner maintains that a Teflon-coated polyimide film is

Art Unit: 1734

an unreinforced release sheet. The claims also recite a limitation for a release material consisting essentially of fluoroplastic material; the Examiner maintains that Teflon or polytetrafluoroethylene is a release material that consists essentially of fluoroplastic material.

#### Conclusion

23. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl N. Hawkins whose telephone number is (703) 306-0941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (703) 308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1734

organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for regular communications or (703) 872-9311 for After-Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone numbers is (703) 308-0661.

Cheryl N. Hawkins

Cheryl n. Hawkin 5/19/03

May 19, 2003

RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**